UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/671,007	09/25/2003	Wendy H. Raskind		UWOTL121680	8123	
26389 7590 08/30/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC				EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH AVENUE				JOHANNSEN, DIANA B		
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1634		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				08/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/671,007	RASKIND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Diana B. Johannsen	1634				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
Period fo		/ 10 OFT TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	(O) OF THEFTY (O) FAVO				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this®communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ine 2007</u> .	•				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 43-46</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 43-46 are subject to restri	iction and/or election requiremen	t.				
Applicati	ion Papers	`.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
· <u> </u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
₹	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority arraor 55 5.5.5. 3 1 15(a	, (3, 3, (1).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
٠.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/671,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the Amendment and Response filed June 15, 2007. Claims 1-2 and 4-6 have been amended, claims 3 and 7-42 have been cancelled, and claims 43-46 have been added. Applicant's amendments, and particularly the addition of new claims 43-44, necessitate a further restriction as set forth below.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant has added new claims 43-44, such that the claims of elected Group I now encompass a multitude of distinct exon sequences contained within SEQ ID NO: 3, as well as all possible combinations thereof. Whereas the claims of Group I previously required only a single sequence search aimed at identifying prior art meeting the limitations of claims 7-8 (requiring sequences 90% or 95% identical to SEQ ID NO: 3 over its entire length), a search of the claims as amended would require 18 separate sequence searches and consideration of any prior art relevant to each sequence searched. The 18 different exon sequences encompassed by the claims, and the various combinations thereof also encompassed by the claims, differ in sequence and structure from one another, and possess different functional properties and characteristics. In accordance with the policy set forth in 1316 OG 122 (27 March 2007), claims directed to polynucleotide molecules are considered for independence, relatedness, distinction and burden as for claims to any other type of molecule. In the instant case, methods employing each of the sequences and combinations of claims 43-44 each constitute a distinct invention. Further, as each sequence/combination would

Application/Control Number: 10/671,007 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

require a different sequence search, a search of more than one such sequence/combination would pose a serious burden on the examiner and on the Office.

- 3. Accordingly, Applicant must further elect a single sequence or combination of sequences as set forth in claims 43-44 for examination. This is not an election of species. Applicant is advised that examination of the claims noted above will be restricted to the elected sequence/combination.
- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1634

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diana B. Johannsen whose telephone number is 571/272-0744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached at 571/272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Diana B. Johannsen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1634